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1. Summary of the impact
Since 2000, the tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) imatinib has transformed CML from a fatal disease for half of patients within 5 years, to a chronic disease whereby ~ 90% of patients lead normal lives for at least 9 years. This remarkable transformation has spawned a second phase of clinical and translational research aiming to cure CML. The University of Liverpool (UoL) CML research group headed by Prof Richard Clark has been integral in both phases, particularly in the development of the second generation TKI nilotinib. Important contributions have also shed light on CML biology and the possible mechanism of acute leukaemic transformation (blast crisis).

2. Underpinning research
CML is a malignant disease of the haemopoietic stem cell, characterised by expansion of myeloid cell production. It can progress to acute leukaemia (blast crisis) which is usually fatal within 6 months. CML is driven by the fusion oncoprotein BCR-ABL, formed by the t(9;22) Philadelphia translocation. The BCR-ABL gene product is a constitutively active version of ABL, a tyrosine kinase. In the late 1990s, the tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) imatinib was introduced. This inhibits BCR-ABL to which CML cells are ‘addicted’. Using sensitive PCR based molecular testing for BCR-ABL, imatinib has been shown to produce far deeper remissions than alpha interferon (IFN), and patients with such ‘major molecular responses’ (MMR) have an extremely low risk of blast crisis after at least 9 years of follow up. Imatinib has therefore become the standard of care in CML since endorsement by the National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE) in 2003. However, 40% of patients become resistant to or intolerant of imatinib. Resistance mechanisms include the evolution of subclones with BCR-ABL kinase domain mutations that interfere with imatinib binding, or the development of new genomic lesions that are unaffected by imatinib.

Prof Clark’s group at the UoL showed that imatinib is actively transported into leukaemia cells by the transporter hOCT1 [1]. This was the first time that an INFLUX (as opposed to an efflux) transporter has ever been identified as of clinical relevance in any cancer. The group went on to show that this is a powerful clinical predictor of patients failing imatinib [2], subsequently confirmed by several other groups. Low hOCT1 expression/activity is therefore an additional and common mechanism of imatinib resistance, discovered by the Clark group.

In 2006, the second generation TKIs dasatinib and nilotinib were shown by others in phase II studies to achieve leukaemia clearance from the marrow and MMR in the majority of patients who fail imatinib. Research at the UoL showed that both drugs are transported into cells independently of hOCT1 [3,4]. This led to phase III trials of nilotinib vs. imatinib (ENESTnd, in which Clark was the UK Chief Investigator) and of dasatinib vs. imatinib (the ongoing NCRI SPIRIT2 trial, for which Clark chairs the management group). ENESTnd has demonstrated that nilotinib has higher MMR rates and lower acute leukaemia progression rates than imatinib [5] that are maintained at 5 years [data to be presented at ASH 2013].

Clark’s CML group has also made important contributions to CML biology including the demonstration of HLA-associated expression of BCR-ABL fusion peptides [6], and the identification of cancerous inhibitor of PP2A (CIP2A) [7] and the loss of placental derived growth factor as biomarkers of disease progression [8].
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4. Details of the impact

800 people develop CML each year in the UK and there are currently over 6,000 patients with the disease. The estimated cost to the NHS is £290m pa and rising.

Due to his work on imatinib resistance and on nilotinib and dasatinib, Prof Clark became one of two experts co-opted by the National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE) to appraise these drugs, on behalf of the Royal College of Pathologists and the British Society for Haematology. Based on the data of nilotinib superiority over imatinib in ENESTnd, on which Clark was the UK Chief Investigator, and also the parallel study of dasatinib, NICE considered the use of second line nilotinib and dasatinib in a multitechnology appraisal from 2009-2011, resulting in approval for nilotinib but not dasatinib in January 2012 [9]. NICE similarly involved Prof Clark in a second Technology Appraisal in 2012 that recommended that nilotinib should also be approved for first line treatment of chronic phase CML [10]. Clark and the UoL’s studies over the past few years have been key to this shift of the standard of care for newly diagnosed CML patients from imatinib to nilotinib. A similar change from imatinib to nilotinib is also happening in most other Western countries, where their current clinical trials offer only nilotinib (with or without other treatments) to newly diagnosed CML patients. Nilotinib (trade name Tasigna) is licensed as first line therapy for newly diagnosed CML patients throughout the EU, Switzerland, Japan and the US, and as a second line treatment in over 100 countries for patients resistant or intolerant to existing treatments [11].

The change to nilotinib as the treatment of choice [12] is underlined by the £21.9m industry funded SPIRIT 3 trial that will soon be underway in the UK (as of November 2013, applications for ethical and MHRA approval are currently under consideration but see next paragraph). This randomised phase III trial intends to recruit 1,000 newly diagnosed chronic phase CML patients. It will examine whether nilotinib remains a superior treatment to imatinib if patients who have inadequate early molecular responses are offered an early switch of treatment. The trial will also examine whether treatment de-escalation and then cessation can be achieved in patients with excellent and sustained treatment responses for some years, in case these patients are functionally cured [13]. This de-escalation and stopping strategy is being piloted in a phase II trial called DESTINY, led from Liverpool and for which Clark is the Chief Investigator (supported by Leukaemia & Lymphoma Research) which is opening in November 2013.

However, during 2013 several reports have emerged on a small apparent excess of cardiovascular
events on nilotinib than imatinib. It is not possible to be certain on this as ENESTnd and smaller studies were not designed to test this. In addition, on October 8th 2013, the US Food & Drugs Administration unexpectedly announced an investigation into cardiovascular events in patients receiving ponatinib, a 3rd generation TKI that is part of the ‘early switch’ strategy in the UK SPIRIT3 trial. Interest in nilotinib (and ponatinib) has therefore evolved to determining whether cardiovascular events are a genuine and clinically relevant unwanted effect, and also on the underlying mechanism.

Finally, the UoL group’s scientific observations have also had clinical impact, partly in relation to the switch from imatinib to nilotinib. The UoL’s demonstration of HLA-associated expression of BCR-ABL fusion peptides [6] led directly to several studies of peptide vaccination in CML and other leukaemias [14]. There is also much interest in biomarkers in CML, to predict a poor clinical outcome (transformation to acute leukaemia), and the UoL’s identification of CIP2A [7] has evolved into a more thorough study of this protein in many other cancers (exemplified by 30 further reports on CIP2A in the 2 years since publication). The most recent data in Liverpool indicate that while CML patients with high CIP2A expression have a high probability of disease progression to blast crisis if treated with imatinib, this is not true if receiving nilotinib, and that this may be due to differential effects of the two drugs on various ancillary proteins involved in CIP2A regulation (data presented at the European School of Haematology 2013 and manuscript submitted to a high impact journal in November 2013 [15]). These laboratory observations support the case that high CIP2A expressing patients should preferentially receive nilotinib from original diagnosis.

5. Sources to corroborate the impact
Each source listed below provides evidence for the corresponding numbered claim made in section 4 (details of the impact).
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